UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,075	10/27/2003	10/27/2003 Ekambar R. Kandimalla		3779	
WAYNE A. KE	7590 09/25/200 EOWN	EXAMINER			
SUITE 1200	MMINGS PARK	LE, EMILY M			
WOBURN, MA	= :=	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1648			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		<i>A</i>	Application No) .	Applicant(s)				
			10/694,075		KANDIMALLA ET AL.				
		E	Examiner		Art Unit				
			EMILY M. LE		1648				
<i>Th</i> e Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this community	nication appea	ars on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
WHICHEVE - Extensions or after SIX (6) - If NO period of Failure to rep Any reply rec	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F ER IS LONGER, FROM THE N time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this com for reply is specified above, the maximum s by within the set or extended period for reply eived by the Office later than three months t term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS C a). In no event, how apply and will expire use the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ Resn	onsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>05/08/0</i>	08+07/22/08						
•	• •	2b)⊠ This ac		nal					
/ <u>—</u>		<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of	Claims								
4)⊠ Claim	n(s) 25 is/are nending in the ar	nlication							
•	Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
		iic williarawii	THOM CONSIGE	iation.					
· <u></u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	n(s) <u>25</u> is/are rejected.								
•	n(s) is/are objected to.	-4:	1 - 4:						
8) Claim	n(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	election requir	ement.					
Application Pa	pers								
9) <mark>∏</mark> The s	pecification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.							
10) <u></u> The d	rawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)	ted or b)□ ol	ojected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applic	ant may not request that any obje	ection to the dra	awing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Repla	cement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	n is required if t	he drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) <u></u> The o	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Mail Date	PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/694,075 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/22/2008 has been entered.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-24 and 26-38 are cancelled. Claim 25 is pending and under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krieg,

¹ Krieg et al. WO 00/06588, published 02/10/2000.

The claim is directed at a method for modulating the immunostimulatory effect of an immunostimulatory oligonucleotide compound by introducing a nucleoside methylphosphonate.

Krieg et al. teaches immunostimulatory oligonucleotides. Krieg et al. also suggests the substitution of the natural phosphodiester backbone that links nucleosides with methylphosphonate to stabilize the oligonucleotides. [Page 13, in particular.] Thus, at the time the invention was made, it would have been prima facie obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the natural phosphodiester backbone that links nucleosides with methylphosphonate. One of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to do so to stabilize the oligonucleotide. One of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have had a reasonable expectation of success for doing so the use of alternative backbones is routinely practiced in the art.

Conclusion

- 5. No claim is allowed.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EMILY M. LE whose telephone number is (571)272-0903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 8 am 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce R. Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,075 Page 4

Art Unit: 1648

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/EMILY M LE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1648

/E. M. L./